

1 Introduction

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be entire functions with their composition $f \circ g$ even. What can be said about f and g ? Also, given certain conditions on f and/or g , can $f \circ g$ be even? There are numerous examples which show that $f \circ g$ can be even, while neither f nor g is even. In [3] we proved that if f and g are polynomials with $g(0) = 0$ and g neither even nor odd, then $f \circ g$ cannot be even. It was also shown that if f and g are polynomials, f not constant, $g(0) = 0$, and $f \circ g$ is even, then f or g must be even. These results do not hold for entire functions in general, or for rational functions in general.

Call an entire function $g(z)$ right pseudo even(RPE) if there is a non-constant entire function $f(z)$ such that $f \circ g$ is even. Of course, every even or odd entire function is RPE, but there are entire functions g which are RPE, while g (or any derivative of g) is neither even nor odd. An example is $g(z) = \sin(z) + \cos(z)$. In Section 1 we completely characterize the RPE polynomials $p(z)$. Using a result from [1], p must be either even, an odd polynomial plus a constant, or a quadratic polynomial composed with an odd polynomial $s(z)$ (Theorem 2). For the latter case, a simple example is $p(z) = (z^2 + 2z) \circ s(z)$, $f(z) = \cos(2\pi\sqrt{z+1})$. Similar results are given for when $f \circ p$ is odd (Theorem 3).

Call an entire function $f(z)$ left pseudo even(LPE) if $f \circ g$ is even for some entire function $g(z)$ which is not even. Every even entire function is LPE, but there are also LPE entire functions which are not even. An example is the polynomial $f(z) = (z^2 + 2z)^2$. In Section 2 we discuss the more general question of when $p \circ g$ can be even, where $g(z)$ is entire and $p(z)$ is a polynomial. However, we are not able to give a complete characterization of the LPE polynomials, as we do for the RPE polynomials. We can prove (Theorem 7) that if g is a transcendental entire function with finitely many zeroes, which is neither even nor odd, then $p \circ g$ cannot be even for any non-constant polynomial p . We can prove the simple result that $p(z) = z^n$, n odd, cannot be LPE (see Lemma 9). It is interesting to note, however, that it is possible for an odd entire function to be LPE. For example, $f(z) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}z\right)$ is LPE since $f \circ g$ is even, where $g(z) = 1 - \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}z\right)$.

In Section 3 we extend some of our results to cyclic compositions. Let N be a prime number, and let ω be a primitive N th root of unity. If $f(z)$ is analytic at 0, and $f(z) = z^k \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^{jN}$, then f is called cyclic mod N . f is

cyclic mod 2 if and only if f is even or odd. If $N \geq 3$, f is entire, and p is a polynomial, then necessary and sufficient conditions for $f \circ p$ to be cyclic mod N are more restrictive than for the even or odd case (Theorem 14).

In Section 4 we discuss even and odd compositions of rational functions, where the results for polynomials do not extend in general. For example there are rational functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ such that $f(0) = g(0) = 0$, $f \circ g$ is even, but neither f nor g are even. It is indeed possible for $f \circ f$ to be even when f is not even ($f(0) \neq 0$). We do not know if this is possible for the cyclic case in general.

In Section 5 we discuss even compositions of polynomials in two variables. Again, the results do not extend in general. There are polynomials $P(z, w)$, $Q(z)$, and $R(z)$, $P(0, 0) = 0$, $Q(0) = R(0) = 0$, and P, Q , and R neither even nor odd, with $P(Q(z), R(z))$ even. One can prove, however, that if $Q(0, 0) = 0$ and $P(Q(z, w))$ is even, where P is a polynomial in one variable, then P or Q must be even. Related questions along these lines are homogeneous or symmetric compositions of polynomials in two or more variables.

2 Entire functions Composed with a Polynomial

Let f be an entire function and p a polynomial. We shall prove that if $f \circ p$ is even, then either p is even, odd, or a quadratic polynomial composed with an odd polynomial. First we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1 *Suppose that g is analytic at 0, and g is neither even, nor odd plus a constant (i.e. g' is neither even nor odd). Then there are no constants a and b such that $g(z) = ag(-z) + b$ for all z .*

Proof. . Write $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, and let m and n be distinct positive integers with m odd, n even, and $a_m \neq 0 \neq a_n$. Then the expansion of $g(z) - ag(-z)$ about 0 contains the terms $(1+a)z^m$ and $(1-a)z^n$, and hence no value of a can make $g(z) - ag(-z)$ a constant. ■

Theorem 2 *Let p be a polynomial. Then there exists a non-constant entire function f such that $f \circ p$ is even if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (A) p is even.
- (B) $p(z) = s(z) + k$, where s is odd and k is a constant.
- (C) $p(z) = (s(z) + d)^2 + k$, where s is odd and d and k are constants, $d \neq 0$.

Proof. We can assume $p \neq 0$, which is equivalent to (A), (B), and (C) all holding. First we prove that if $f \circ p$ is even, then one of (A), (B), or (C) must hold. Assume first that neither (A) nor (B) holds, and let $q(z) = p(-z)$. Then

$$f(p(z)) = f(q(z)) \quad \forall z \quad (1)$$

By [1],

$$p(z) = a q(z) + b \quad (2)$$

or

$$p(z) = r^2(z) + k, \quad q(z) = (r(z) + c)^2 + k \quad (3)$$

By Lemma 1, (3) must hold. Now $p(-z) = r^2(-z) + k = (r(z) + c)^2 + k \Rightarrow r^2(-z) = (r(z) + c)^2 \Rightarrow r(-z) = \pm(r(z) + c) \Rightarrow -r'(-z) = \pm r'(z) \Rightarrow r'$ is either even or odd. If r' is odd, then r is even, which implies that p is even. That contradicts the assumption that (A) does not hold. Hence r' is even $\Rightarrow r(z) = s(z) + d$, where s is an odd polynomial. Hence $p(z) = (s(z) + d)^2 + k$, and (C) holds. Now if (A) and (C) do not hold, the above argument shows that p must satisfy (2). By Lemma 1, $p(z) = s(z) + k$, where s is odd, and hence (B) holds. Finally, if (B) and (C) do not hold, then Lemma 1 and the argument above show that p must be even, and hence (A) holds.

To prove sufficiency, clearly if (A) or (B) hold, then one can always find a non-constant entire function f such that $f \circ p$ is even. If (C) holds, one can choose $f(z) = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{d}\sqrt{z-k}\right)$. Then $f((z+d)^2 + k) = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi z}{d}\right)$, which is even. Hence $f((s(z) + d)^2 + k)$ is also even. ■

Remark 1 (A) and (B) are equivalent to $p(z) - p(0)$ being even or odd.

Remark 2 Theorem 2 gives a complete characterization of the RPE polynomials.

An odd version of Theorem 2 also follows. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2 and we omit it.

Theorem 3 *Let p be a polynomial. Then there exists a non-constant entire function f such that $f \circ p$ is odd if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (A) p is odd.
- (B) $p(z) = (s(z) + d)^2 + k$, where s is odd and d and k are constants, $d \neq 0$.

Remark 3 *If (B) of Theorem 3 holds, choose $f(z) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2d}\sqrt{z-k}\right)$ to make $f \circ p$ odd.*

Example 4 *Let $p(z) = z^k + z$, where $k \geq 4$, k even. Then it is not hard to show that (C) of Theorem 2 (or (B) of Theorem 3) does not hold (the other conditions are trivial). Hence there is no non-constant entire function f such that $f \circ p$ is even or is odd. There is, however, a function f analytic at 0 such that $f \circ p$ is even (or odd). Since p has an inverse in a neighborhood of $z = 0$, just let $f = x^2 \circ p^{-1}$ (or p^{-1}).*

3 Polynomials Composed with an Entire Function

Let p be a non-constant polynomial and f an entire function. As we show below, $p \circ f$ can be even, even when f is neither even, nor odd plus a constant. It would be nice to have a theorem similar to Theorems 2 and 3 which characterize when $p \circ f$ is even, but we are not able to do this. One can easily show that if $p \circ f$ is even, then there must be a polynomial relation between the even and odd parts of f , but this is not sufficient. For example, let $f(z) = e^z = \sinh(z) + \cosh(z)$. There is a polynomial relation between $\sinh(z)$ and $\cosh(z)$, but no non-constant polynomial in e^z can be even. We prove a more general result than this below. However, certain polynomial relations in the even and odd parts of f do imply that $p \circ f$ is even.

Theorem 5 *Let $f(z) = E(z) + O(z)$, where E is even, O is odd, and $E^2 + O^2 = 1$ for all z . Then $p \circ f$ is even, where $p(z) = z^4 - 2z^2$.*

Proof. $(E + O)^2 - 1 = 2OE$, which is odd. Hence $((E + O)^2 - 1)^2$ is even $\Rightarrow ((E + O)^2 - 1)^2 - 1 = (E + O)^4 - 2(E + O)^2$ is even as well. ■

Example 6 Let $f(z) = \cos(z) + \sin(z)$. Then $p(\sin(z) + \cos(z)) = -4 \cos^4 z + 4 \cos^2 z - 1$.

The example above can be modified so that $f(0) = 0$ and p (as well as f) is not even. Just let $f(z) = \cos(z) + \sin(z) - 1$, and let $q(z) = z^2 + 2z$. Then $q(f(z)) = 2 \cos z \sin z$, which is odd. Hence $p(f(z))$ is even, where $p = q^2$. Note also that f is neither even, nor odd plus a constant. However, f does have infinitely many zeros. This must be the case, as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 7 Suppose that f is a transcendental entire function with finitely many zeroes in the plane, and let p be a non-constant polynomial.

(A) If f is neither even nor odd, then $p \circ f$ cannot be even.

(B) If f is not odd, then $p \circ f$ cannot be odd.

Before proving Theorem 7, we need the following theorem first stated by Borel. The first complete proof was given by R. Nevanlinna [4].

Theorem 8 (Borel [2]) Let $a_i(z)$ be an entire function of order ρ , let $g_i(z)$ also be entire and let $g_j(z) - g_i(z) (i \neq j)$ be a transcendental function or polynomial of degree higher than ρ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(z) e^{g_i(z)} = a_0(z)$$

holds only when

$$a_0(z) = a_1(z) = \cdots = a_n(z) = 0$$

Proof. of Theorem 7. We prove (A), the proof of (B) following in a similar fashion. Since f is transcendental and has finitely many zeroes, $f(z) = Q(z)e^{g(z)}$, where Q is a polynomial and g is a non-constant entire function. Write $p(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i$, $a_n \neq 0$, $n \geq 1$. Then

$$p(f(z)) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (Q(z))^i e^{ig(z)}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & p(f(z)) - p(f(-z)) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (Q(z))^i e^{ig(z)} - \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (Q(-z))^i e^{ig(-z)} \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $p \circ f$ is even.

Case 1: g is even.

Then, since $p \circ f$ is even,

$$\sum_{i=0}^n a_i e^{ig(z)} ((Q(z))^i - Q(-z)^i) = 0$$

By Theorem 8 with $a_i(z) = a_i(Q(z))^i - Q(-z)^i, i \geq 1$ and $a_0 = 0$, which are entire functions of order 0, $a_i(Q(z))^i - Q(-z)^i = 0$ for all i . Since $a_n \neq 0$, $(Q(z))^n - Q(-z)^n = 0$, which implies that Q is either even or odd (see Lemma 9 below). This contradicts the fact that f is neither even nor odd.

Case 2: $g(z) = h(z) + C$, where h is odd and non-zero, and C is a constant.

Then, since $p \circ f$ is even,

$$\sum_{i=0}^n a_i e^{iC} (Q(z))^i e^{ih(z)} - \sum_{i=0}^n a_i e^{iC} (Q(-z))^i e^{-ih(z)} = 0$$

Again, by Theorem 8, with $a_i(z) = a_i e^{iC} (Q(z))^i$ or $a_i e^{iC} Q(-z)^i, i \geq 1$ and $a_0 = 0$, $a_i e^{iC} (Q(z))^i = 0$ for all i . Hence $(Q(z))^n = 0$, which implies that $Q = 0$, which contradicts the fact that f cannot be 0.

Case 3: g is neither even, nor odd plus a constant.

By Lemma 1, $kg(z) - jg(-z)$ cannot be a constant for k and j positive integers. Since $p \circ f$ even implies that

$$\sum_{i=0}^n a_i (Q(z))^i e^{ig(z)} - \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (Q(-z))^i e^{ig(-z)} = 0,$$

by Theorem 8, with $a_i(z) = a_i(Q(z))^i$ or $a_i Q(-z)^i, i \geq 1$ and $a_0 = 0$, $a_i(Q(z))^i = 0$ for all i . Hence $(Q(z))^n = 0$, which implies that $Q = 0$, which contradicts the fact that f cannot be 0. ■

Remark 4 *Theorem 7 does not follow in general if f is not transcendental, as the simple example $f(z) = z - 1$ and $p(z) = (z + 1)^2$ or $p(z) = (z + 1)^3$ shows. However, (A) does follow for polynomials with the stronger assumption that f is neither even nor odd plus a constant. (B) follows for polynomials if f is not odd plus a constant. This follows from [3] or from Theorem 12(B) in the next section.*

Finally we end this section by proving that if $p(z) = z^n$ and $f(z)$ is neither even nor odd, then $p \circ f$ cannot be even.

Lemma 9 *Let f be an entire function, and suppose that $f^n(z) = (f(z))^n$ is even for some positive integer n . Then f must be even or odd.*

Proof. $(f(z))^n = (f(-z))^n \Rightarrow \left(\frac{f(z)}{f(-z)} \right)^n = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{f(z)}{f(-z)} = e^{\frac{2\pi ki}{n}}$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ depends on z , and $f(-z) \neq 0$. By continuity, $\frac{f(z)}{f(-z)} = e^{\frac{2\pi ki}{n}}$, k independent of z . Hence $f(z) = e^{\frac{2\pi ki}{n}} f(-z)$. Let $f(z) = E(z) + O(z)$, where E is even and O is odd. Then $(1 - e^{\frac{2\pi ki}{n}})E(z) = (-1 - e^{\frac{2\pi ki}{n}})O(z)$. If $e^{\frac{2\pi ki}{n}} \neq 1$ or -1 , then $E(z) = O(z) = 0$ and $f = 0$. If $e^{\frac{2\pi ki}{n}} = 1$, then $O(z) = 0$ and f is even. If $e^{\frac{2\pi ki}{n}} = -1$ (n is even), then $E(z) = 0$ and f is odd. ■

Remark 5 *Lemma 9 says that z^n , n odd, cannot be LPE.*

4 Cyclic Compositions

Let N be a prime number, and let ω be a primitive N th root of unity. Let

$$C_k = \{ f \text{ analytic at } 0 : f(\omega z) = \omega^k f(z) \}, k = 0, \dots, N-1$$

$C = \bigcup_{k=0}^{N-1} C_k$. We call the functions in C cyclic mod N .

$$f \in C_k \Rightarrow f(z) = z^k \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^{jN}$$

Of course, C and C_k depend on N , but we suppress this in our notation. For $N = 2$, C_0 are the even functions and C_1 are the odd functions, analytic at 0. In [3] we proved that if p and q are polynomials, $q(0) = 0$, q neither even nor odd, then $p \circ q$ is neither even (if p not constant) nor odd. As a corollary, if $q(0) = 0$ and $p \circ q$ is even, then p and/or q must be even.

A similar result follows for the odd case. We extend this result now to cyclic functions.

Proposition 10 *Let p and q be polynomials with p not constant, $q(0) = 0$, and $q \notin C$. Then $p \circ q \notin C$.*

Before proving Proposition 10 we need the following lemma.

Lemma 11 *Let m, n , and r be positive integers with $n \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$, $r \not\equiv m \pmod{N}$. Then $m(n-1) + r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$.*

Proof. $n = kN$, $r = lN + i$, $m = sN + j$, with $i \neq j$. Then $m(n-1) + r = (sN + j)(kN - 1) + lN + i \equiv (i - j) \pmod{N} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. ■

Proof of Proposition 10. Let $m = \deg q$, $n = \deg p$, and assume w.l.o.g. that p is monic. Now write

$$p(z) = (z - \alpha_1) \cdots (z - \alpha_n), \quad q(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k z^k$$

Then

$$p(q(z)) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^m a_k z^k - \alpha_1 \right) \cdots \left(\sum_{k=1}^m a_k z^k - \alpha_n \right) \quad (4)$$

We prove first that $p \circ q \notin C_0$.

Case 1 $m \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. Let r be the highest power of z in q , $r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. Then it follows easily from (4) that $m(n-1) + r$ is the highest power of z in $p \circ q \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$, and the coefficient of $z^{m(n-1)+r}$ is $n a_m^{n-1} a_r \neq 0$. Hence $p \circ q \notin C_0$.

Case 2 $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. Suppose that $p \circ q \in C_0$. We shall derive a contradiction. $\deg(pq) = mn \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \Rightarrow n \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. Let r be the highest power of z in q , $r \not\equiv m \pmod{N}$. Note that $q(0) = 0 \Rightarrow r > 0$, and thus there is no cancellation of z^r with any α_j in (4). By Lemma 11, $m(n-1) + r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. Now $s > r \Rightarrow s \equiv m \pmod{N}$. Also, by (4), any power of z in $p \circ q$ larger than $m(n-1) + r$ has the form $s_1 + \cdots + s_n$, each $s_j > r$. Now suppose that $m \equiv k \pmod{N}$. Then $s_1 + \cdots + s_n \equiv$

$n k \pmod{N} \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. Hence $m(n-1) + r$ is the largest power of z in $p \circ q$ not congruent to 0 mod N . Since the coefficient of $z^{m(n-1)+r}$ is $n a_m^{n-1} a_r \neq 0$, $p \circ q \notin C_0$. This is a contradiction, and hence $p \circ q \notin C_0$.

Now suppose that $p \circ q \in C_j$ for some j . Then $p^N \circ q = (p \circ q)^N \in C_0$, which contradicts the case just proven. ■

Remark 6 *Proposition 10 does not follow if $q(0) \neq 0$. For example, let $p(z) = (z+1)^N$, $q(z) = z-1$. Also, it is trivial that Proposition 10 does not follow if N is not prime.*

Remark 7 *The proof of Proposition 10 shows that $q(0) = 0$ is only needed for case 2, where $\deg(q) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$.*

Theorem 12 *Let p and q be polynomials with $p \circ q \in C$.*

(A) Suppose $q(0) = 0$. Then $q \in C$ or $p \in C$. Also, if p is not constant and $q \notin C_0$, then $p \in C$ and $q \in C$. Finally, if $p \circ q \in C_0$, then $p \in C_0$ or $q \in C_0$.

(B) Suppose $q(0) \neq 0$. If p is not constant and $q \notin C_0$, then $q(z) = r(z) + q(0)$, $p(z) = s(z - q(0))$ where $r \in C$, $s \in C$.

Proof. To prove (A), if p is constant, then $p \in C$. If p is not constant and $q \notin C$, then $p \circ q \notin C$ by Proposition 10. Hence $q \in C$. Now suppose that p is not constant. We just showed that $q \in C$. Now suppose that $q \in C_j$, $j \geq 1$ and $p \circ q \in C_k$. Then

$$p(q(\omega z)) = \omega^k p(q(z))$$

and

$$p(q(\omega^j z)) = p(\omega^j q(z))$$

and hence $p(\omega^j u) = \omega^k p(u)$ for any complex number u . Choose r so that $rj \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$. Then

$$p(\omega u) = p(\omega^{rj} u) = \omega^{rk} p(u)$$

which implies that $p \in C_i$, where $rk \equiv i \pmod{N}$. Hence $p \in C$. Finally, suppose that $p \circ q \in C_0$. Again, $q \in C$. If $q \notin C_0$, then $q \in C_j$, $j \geq 1$. Then

$$p(q(\omega z)) = p(q(z))$$

and

$$p(q(\omega^j z)) = p(\omega^j q(z))$$

and hence $p(\omega u) = p(\omega^{rj} u) = p(u)$, $rj \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$. Thus $p \in C_0$.

To prove (B), let $r(z) = q(z) - q(0)$. If p is not constant, then $p(q(z)) = p(r(z) + q(0)) = s(r(z))$, where $s(z) = p(z + q(0))$ is a non-constant polynomial. By (A), $r \in C$ and $s \in C$ since $r \notin C_0$. Hence $q(z) = r(z) + q(0)$ and $p(z) = s(z - q(0))$ with $r \in C$ and $s \in C$. ■

Remark 8 *It is not true in general that if $p \circ q \in C_j$, then $q \in C_j$ or $p \in C_j$. This only holds in general for $j = 0$, as (A) shows. For the case $N = 2$, however, it does follow that if $p \circ q$ is odd and $q(0) = 0$, then p or q must be odd (see [3]).*

Theorem 13 *Let p be a polynomial with $p \circ p \in C$.*

- (A) *If $p(0) = 0$, then $p \in C$.*
- (B) *If $p \circ p \in C_0$, then $p \in C_0$.*

Proof. (A) follows immediately from Theorem 12(A) with $p = q$. To prove part (B), note that $\deg(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. By Remark 2 following the proof of Proposition 10 (with $p = q$), $p \in C_0$. ■

Remark 9 *(A) does not follow in general if $p(0) \neq 0$. For example, for $N = 2$, $p(z) = -z + 1$ satisfies $p(p(z)) = z$, which is odd, but p is neither odd nor even.*

4.1 Cyclic Compositions of Entire Functions with Polynomials

For primes $N > 2$, we now prove a theorem on cyclic compositions of entire functions with polynomials $p(z)$. The possibilities for $p(z)$ are more restrictive than for Theorems 2 and 3.

Theorem 14 *Let $p(z)$ be a polynomial and $N \geq 3$ a prime number. Then there exists a non-constant entire function $f(z)$ such that $f \circ p \in C$ if and only if $p(z) - p(0) \in C$.*

Proof. $\Rightarrow$) Suppose first that $f \circ p \in C_0$ for some non-constant entire function $f(z)$, and write $p(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$, $a_n \neq 0$. Let ω be a primitive N th root of unity, and let $q(z) = p(\omega z)$. By [1] again, either (2) or (3) must hold. If (2) holds, then

$$p(\omega z) = \lambda p(z) + \beta$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_k \omega^k z^k = \lambda \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k + \beta$$

Hence

$$\lambda = \omega^n \text{ and } a_0 = \lambda a_0 + \beta$$

which implies that $\beta = a_0(1 - \omega^n)$ (Note that if $N \mid n$, then $\lambda = 1$, $\beta = 0$, and $p \in C_0$). Also,

$\lambda a_j = \omega^j a_j$, $j \geq 1 \Rightarrow \omega^n = \omega^j$ if $a_j \neq 0 \Rightarrow n \equiv j \pmod{N}$ if $a_j \neq 0$, $j \geq 1$. That implies that $p(z) - p(0) \in C_k$ for some k .

Now suppose that (3) holds. Then

$$p(z) = r^2(z) + k, p(\omega z) = (r(z) + c)^2 + k$$

for some constants c and k . Hence

$$r^2(\omega z) = (r(z) + c)^2$$

which implies that

$$r(\omega z) = \pm(r(z) + c)$$

and hence $\omega r'(\omega z) = \pm r'(z)$. Letting $s(z) = r'(z)$, we have $\omega s(\omega z) = \pm s(z)$. If $\omega s(\omega z) = -s(z)$, then $-\sum_{k=0}^m b_k z^k = \sum_{k=0}^m b_k \omega^{k+1} z^k$, where $s(z) = \sum_{k=0}^m b_k z^k$. This implies that $-b_j = \omega^{j+1} b_j$. Now $\omega^k = -1$ for some k implies that

$$\cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{N}\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{2\pi k}{N}\right) = -1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2\pi k}{N} = \pi l \text{ for some integer } l \Rightarrow \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{N}\right) = \cos(\pi l) = 1 \text{ since } N \geq 3$$

implies that l is even. Hence $\omega^k \neq -1$ for any $k \Rightarrow b_j = 0$ for all $j \Rightarrow r$ is constant $\Rightarrow p$ is constant $\Rightarrow p(z) - p(0) \in C_0$.

Otherwise,

$$\omega s(\omega z) = -s(z)$$

implies that $s(\omega z) = \omega^{N-1} s(z) \Rightarrow s \in C_{N-1} \Rightarrow r \in C_0$, and hence p (and $p(z) - p(0) \in C_0$ as well. If $f \circ p \in C_k$ for some $k \geq 1$, then $f^N \circ p \in C_0$. By the case just proved, $p(z) - p(0) \in C_k$ for some k .

($\Leftarrow$ Suppose that $p(z) - p(0) \in C_k$ for some k , and let $\tilde{p}(z) = p(z) - p(0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}(\omega z) &= \omega^k \tilde{p}(z). \text{ Let } f(z) = (z - p(0))^N. \text{ Then } f(p(\omega z)) = (\tilde{p}(\omega z))^N = \\ &= \omega^{kN} (\tilde{p}(z))^N = (\tilde{p}(z))^N \\ &= (p(z) - p(0))^N = f(p(z)) \Rightarrow f \circ p \in C_0. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 15 *Let $g(z)$ be an entire function which is periodic and cyclic mod N for some prime number $N \geq 3$. Then g is constant.*

Proof. Suppose that g is not constant, and let L be the period of g . Let $f(z) = g(L \sqrt[N]{z})$ and $p(z) = (z + 1)^N$. Then $f \circ p = g(Lz) \in C$, but $p(z) - p(0) \notin C$, which contradicts Theorem 14. ■

Remark 10 *Much of Theorem 12 follows from Theorem 14, but the latter theorem requires deeper results from [1] than used in the proof of Theorem 12.*

5 Rational Functions

By [3, Theorem 1] (or by Theorem 12 and the remark following, if p and q are polynomials with $q(0) = 0$ and $p \circ q$ even(or odd), then p or q must be even(or odd). This does not hold for rational functions in general, however, as the following example shows.

Example 16 *Let $f(z) = g(z) = \frac{z}{z-1}$. Then $f \circ g = z$, which is odd. Also, if $f(z) = \left(\frac{z}{z-1}\right)^2$ and $g(z) = \frac{z}{z-1}$, then $f \circ g = z^2$, which is even. In each case, $f(0) = g(0) = 0$, and neither f nor g are even or odd. For the cyclic case in general, just take $f(z) = \left(\frac{z}{z-1}\right)^p$ and $g(z) = \frac{z}{z-1}$.*

The example above also shows that $f \circ f$ can be odd even if $f(0) = 0$ and f is not odd. However, $f \circ f$ cannot be even for any power series(convergent or not) if $f(0) = 0$ (see [5]). The following example shows that $f \circ f$ can be even if $f(0) \neq 0$.

Example 17 *Let $f(z) = \frac{z^2 + z + 1}{z^2 - z + 1}$. Then $f \circ f = \frac{3z^4 + 7z^2 + 3}{z^4 + 5z^2 + 1}$, which is even, but f is not even. Note that f and $f \circ f$ are both analytic at $z = 0$.*

6 Polynomials in Several Variables

Let $P(z, w)$, $Q(z)$, and $R(z)$ be polynomials with $P(0, 0) = Q(0) = R(0) = 0$. If $P(Q(z), R(z))$ is even, must one of P , Q , or R be even ? The answer is

no, as is seen by the simple example $P(z, w) = z - w^2$, $Q(z) = z^6 + z^4 + 2z^3 + z^2$, $R(z) = z^2 + z$. Then $P(Q(z), R(z)) = z^6$, which is even, but none of P, Q , or R are even. Note that the answer is still no if we replace even throughout by even in each variable separately. We can, however, prove the following result. We just prove the two variable case, though the extension to any number of variables follows easily. First we need the following lemma.

Lemma 18 *Suppose that $P(z, w)$ is a polynomial such that $R_{a,b}(z) = P(az, bz)$ is even for any complex constants a and b . Then $P(z, w)$ is even.*

Proof. Suppose that $P(z, w)$ contains a homogeneous term of odd degree k , $\sum_{r+s=k} c_{r,s} z^r w^s$. Then the coefficient of z^{r+s} in $P(az, bz)$ is $\sum_{r+s=k} c_{r,s} a^r b^s$. Since $P(az, bz)$ is even for any complex constants a and b , the polynomial in a and b , $\sum_{r+s=k} c_{r,s} a^r b^s$, is identically 0. Then $c_{r,s} = 0$ for all r and s such that $r + s = k$. This implies that $P(z, w)$ is even. ■

Theorem 19 *Let $R(z, w) = P(Q(z, w))$, where P is a polynomial in one variable and Q is a polynomial in two variables. If $Q(0, 0) = 0$ and R is even, then P or Q must be even.*

Proof. Let $Q_{a,b}(z) = Q(az, bz)$ and $R_{a,b}(z) = R(az, bz) = P(Q_{a,b}(z))$. Then $Q_{a,b}(0) = 0$ and $R_{a,b}(z)$ is even, for any constants a and b . By [3, Theorem 1] (or by Theorem 12 and the remark following), P or $Q_{a,b}$ must be even. If P is even, we are finished. If P is not even, then $Q_{a,b}$ is even. By Lemma 18, Q must be even. ■

Remark 11 *One can also prove an odd version of Theorem 19, or more generally a cyclic version, as we did for polynomials in one variable.*

Remark 12 *Other related questions for polynomials in several variables are:*

(A) *If $R(z, w) = P(Q(z, w))$ is homogeneous, $Q(0, 0) = 0$, prove that P or Q must be homogeneous. This can be proved with techniques similar to those used in this paper.*

(B) *If $R(z, w) = P(Q(z, w))$ is symmetric, must Q be symmetric ?*

7 Open Questions

(1) Let $f(z)$ be an entire function with $f \circ f$ even, f not even. If $f(0) \neq 0$, then f need not be even (see [3]). Must $f(z) = O(z) + c$, where O is odd and c is a constant ?

(2) Let $N \geq 3$ be a given prime number. Does there exist a function $f(z) \notin C_0$, analytic at 0, such that $f \circ f \in C_0$? (If such an f exists, then by [5] $f(0) \neq 0$.) If yes, does there exist a rational function $R(z) \notin C_0$, such that $R \circ R \in C_0$?

(3) Discuss the questions in this paper when $(f \circ g)(z) = h(k(z))$, where h and k are entire functions. When must f or g be an entire function in k ?

(4) Give a complete characterization of the RPE and LPE entire functions, and in particular the LPE polynomials.

References

- [1] I. N. Baker and Fred Gross, 'On factorizing entire functions', Proc. London Math. Soc 17 (1968), 69-76.
- [2] E. Borel, 'Sur les zéros des fonctions entières', Acta Math. 20 (1897).
- [3] Alan L. Horwitz and Lee A. Rubel, 'When is the composition of two power series even?', J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 56(1994), 415-420.
- [4] R. Nevanlinna, 'Le théorème de Picard-Borel et la théorie des fonctions méromorphes', Paris, 1929.
- [5] Bruce Reznick, 'When is the iterate of a formal power series odd?', J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 28 (1979), 62-66.